

CONTINUUM-WISE EXPANSIVE DIFFEOMORPHISMS ON TWO DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLD

MANSEOB LEE

ABSTRACT. Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism of two dimensional manifold M . If f has a homoclinic tangency associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not continuum-wise expansive.

1. Introduction and proof of main Theorem

Assume that (M, d) is compact smooth manifold with Riemannian metric d . For $x \in M$ and any $\delta > 0$, we define $\Gamma(x, \delta) = \{y \in M : d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \delta, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be *expansive* if there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\Gamma(x, \delta) = \{x\}$. We say that a set $C \subset M$ is *continuum* that it contains more than one point, that is, called *nondegenerate*. A subset C of a continuum M is called a *subcontinuum* of M . A diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be *continuum-wise expansive* (simply, Cw-expansive) if there is $\delta > 0$ such that for a subcontinuum $C \subset \Gamma(x, \delta)$, if $\text{diam} f^n(C) \leq \delta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then C is an one point set. Clearly, if a diffeomorphism f is expansive then f is Cw-expansive. But the converse is not true (see [4]). For Cw-expansive diffeomorphisms, many results are published in [1, 5, 7, 9, 15]. Among that Lee [7] proved that C^1 generically, if a diffeomorphism f is Cw-expansive then it is Axiom A. About the results, we consider the relationship with a horseshoe

Received April 17, 2023; Accepted May 26, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A50, 37B45, 37C29.

Key words and phrases: expansive, continuum-wise expansive, horseshoe, homoclinic tangency.

and Cw-expansivity in the paper. For a horseshoe, we see that a remarkable results from Bowen [2], that is, there is a horseshoe such that it has positive Lebesgue measure. Also, Robinson and Young [14] showed that there is a horseshoe such that it is invariant and has positive Lebesgue measure.

A horseshoe is very close to homoclinic tangency, that is, for a hyperbolic periodic point p , we say that $x \in M$ is *homoclinic tangency* associated to p if $x \in W^s(p) \cap W^u(p) \setminus W^s(p) \pitchfork W^u(p)$, where $W^s(p) = \{x \in M : d(f^{ki}(x), p) \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty\}$ and $W^u(p) = \{x \in M : d(f^{ki}(x), p) \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow -\infty\}$ ($f^k(p) = p$). We say that a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is *measure expansive* if there is $\delta > 0$, such that for any $x \in M$, $\mu(\Gamma(\delta, x)) = 0$, where μ is a non-atomic probability measure on M . And a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ is *asymptotic measure expansive* if there is $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in M$, $\mu(f^n(\Gamma(\delta, x))) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where μ is a non-atomic probability measure on M .

According to [3], if a diffeomorphism f is measure expansive then f is asymptotic measure expansive. But the converse is not true (see [3, Example 1.1]). The notion of homoclinic tangency can constructs a small horseshoe which has positive Lebesgue measure. In [13], the authors proved that if a diffeomorphism f has a homoclinic tangency associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not measure expansive. Also, in [3] proved that if a diffeomorphism f has a homoclinic tangency associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not asymptotic measure expansive. For measure and asymptotic measure expansivities of C^1 perturbation properties, we can see in [1, 8, 10–12, 16]. Moreover, Lee proved in [6] that if a diffeomorphism f has a homoclinic tangency associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not weak measure expansive.

About the results, we consider that the relationship with Cw-expansivity and a horseshoe which is associated to a homoclinic tangency.

We say that $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a *flat tangency* if a small arc \mathcal{J}_x contained in $W^s(p)$ and $W^u(p)$, that is, $\mathcal{J}_x \subset W^s(p) \cap W^u(p)$.

LEMMA 1.1. [13, Lemma 4.2] *Let p be a hyperbolic periodic point of f . For a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$, if $x \in W^s(p) \cap W^u(p)$ then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is a flat tangency.*

The following is in [13, Lemma 4.3] and [3, Proposition 3.2].

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $g : M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism such that g has a flat homoclinic tangency. Then there is a diffeomorphism $h : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to g such that h has a sequence of horseshoes \mathcal{H}_n with the following properties:*

- (a) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{diam}(h^k(\mathcal{H}_n)) < r_n$ with $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) $h^j(\mathcal{H}_n) = \mathcal{H}_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), for some $j > 0$.
- (c) $\mu_L(\mathcal{H}_n) > 0$, where $\mu_L(A)$ is a Lebesgue measure of A .

For a diffeomorphism f , we say that a Borel probability measure μ of M is *continuum-wise expansive* (Shin [17]) if there is $\delta > 0$ such that every subcontinuum $C \subset M$ with $\mu(C) > 0$, then $\text{diam}f^n(C) > \delta$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Shin proved in [17] that a homeomorphism f of a separable metric space is Cw expansive if and only if every nonatomic Borel probability measure is Cw-expansive.

LEMMA 1.3. *For a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ of a two dimensional manifold M , if f has a homoclinic tangency associated to $p \in \text{Per}_h(f)$, then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that a nonatomic Borel probability measure μ is not Cw-expansive with respect to g , where $\text{Per}_h(f)$ is the set of all hyperbolic periodic points of f .*

Proof. Suppose that a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ has a homoclinic tangency associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p . As in Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close

to f such that g has a sequence of horseshoes \mathcal{H}_n with the following properties:

- (a) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{diam}(g^k(\mathcal{H}_n)) < r_n$ with $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) $g^j(\mathcal{H}_n) = \mathcal{H}_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), for some $j > 0$, and
- (c) $\mu(\mathcal{H}_n) > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where μ is the Lebesgue measure on M .

As in the above items (a), (b) and (c), there is a hyperbolic periodic point $p_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \Gamma_{2r_n}(p_n, g)$ and $\text{diam}(g^k(\mathcal{H}_n)) < r_n$ ($\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$) with $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu(\mathcal{H}_n) > 0$. Thus if a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ has a homoclinic tangency associated to $p \in \text{Per}_h(f)$ then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that μ is not Cw-expansive. \square

THEOREM 1.4. For a diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$ of a two dimensional manifold M , if f has a homoclinic tangency associated to $p \in \text{Per}_h(f)$, then there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not Cw-expansive.

Proof. As the result of Shin [17], a nonatomic Borel probability measure μ on M is Cw-expansive if and only if f is Cw-expansive. So, according to Lemma 1.3, we have that there is a diffeomorphism $g : M \rightarrow M$ with C^1 close to f such that g is not Cw-expansive. \square

References

- [1] A. Artigue and D. Carrasco-Olivera, *A note on measure-expansive diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **428** (2015), 713-716.
- [2] R. Bowen, *A horseshoe with positive measure*, Invent. Math., **29**(20175), 203-204.
- [3] A. Fakhari, C. A. Morales and K. Tajbakhsh, *Asymptotic measure expansive diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **435**(2016), 1682-1687.
- [4] H. Kato, *Continuum-wise expansive homeomorphisms*, Can. J. Math., **45** (1993), 576-598.
- [5] M. Lee, *Continuum-wise expansive diffeomorphisms and conservative systems*, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, Article number: 379 (2014).
- [6] M. Lee, *Weak measure expansiveness for partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, **103** (2017), 256-260.

- [7] M. Lee, *Continuum-wise expansiveness for generic diffeomorphisms*, Nonlinearity, **31**(2018), 2982-2988.
- [8] M. Lee, *Measure expansiveness for generic diffeomorphisms*, Dynamic Syst. Appl., **27** (2018), 629-635.
- [9] M. Lee, *Continuum-wise expansiveness for discrete dynamical systems*, Revist. Real Acad. Cie. Exactas, Fisicasy Natur. Serie A. Matema., **115**, Article number: 113 (2021).
- [10] M. Lee, *Asymptotic measure-expansiveness for generic diffeomorphisms*, Open Math., **19** (2021), 470-476.
- [11] M. Lee and J. Park, *Measure expansive symplectic diffeomorphisms and Hamiltonian systems*, International J. Math., **27**, 1650077 (2016).
- [12] C. A. Morales and V. F. Sirvent, *Expansive measures*, In: 29 Col. Brasil. Matem., 2013.
- [13] M. J. Pacifico and J. L. Vieitez, *On measure expansive diffeomorphisms*, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc., **143**(2015), 811-819.
- [14] C. Robinson and L. S. Young, *Nonabsolutely continuous foliations for an Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Invent. Math., **61**(1980), 159-176.
- [15] K. Sakai, *Continuum-wise expansive diffeomorphisms*, Publ. Mat., **41** (1997), 375-382.
- [16] K. Sakai, N. Sumi, and K. Yamamoto, *Measure-expansive diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **414** (2014), 546-552.
- [17] B. Shin, *Continuum-wise expansive measures*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **506** (2022), 125551.

Manseob Lee

Department of Marketing Big Data and Mathematics

Mokwon National University

Daejeon 302-729, Korea

E-mail: lmsds@mokwon.ac.kr